

1 SCOTT N. SCHOOLS, SC SBN 9990
United States Attorney
2 JOANN M. SWANSON, CSBN 88143
Assistant United States Attorney
3 Chief, Civil Division
EDWARD OLSEN, CSBN 214150
4 Assistant United States Attorney

5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
6 San Francisco, California 94102
6 Telephone: (415) 436-6915
FAX: (415) 436-6927

Attorneys for Defendants

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

12 QIAO LI and CHEN MA)
13 Plaintiffs,) No. C 07-2253 PVT
14 v.) ORDER GRANTING
15 GERARD HEINAUER, Director, USCIS)
Nebraska Service Center;)
16 EMILIO T. GONZALEZ, Director, USCIS)
ROBERT MUELLER, III, Director,)
17 Federal Bureau of Investigation,)
MICHAEL CHERTOFF, Secretary Department)
18 of Homeland Security;)
ALBERTO GONZALES, Attorney General,)
19 Department of Justice;)
20 Defendants.)
21 _____)

22 Each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has read either the handbook entitled "Dispute
23 Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California," or the specified portions of the ADR
24 Unit's Internet site <www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov>, discussed the available dispute resolution
25 options provided by the court and private entities, and considered whether this case might benefit
26 from any of them. Here, the parties agree that referral to a formal ADR process will not be
27 beneficial because this mandamus action is limited to plaintiffs' request that this Court compel
28 defendants to adjudicate the application for adjustment of status. Given the substance of the action

1 and the lack of any potential middle ground, ADR will only serve to multiply the proceedings and
2 unnecessarily tax court resources. Accordingly, pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-3(c), the parties request
3 the case be removed from the ADR Multi-Option Program and that they be excused from
4 participating in the ADR phone conference and any further formal ADR process.

5

6 Dated: July 31, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

7

SCOTT N. SCHOOLS
United States Attorney

8

9

/s/
EDWARD A. OLSEN
Assistant United States Attorney
Attorneys for Defendants

10

11

Dated: July 31, 2007

/s/
KIP EVAN STEINBERG
Attorney for Plaintiffs

12

13

ORDER

14

Pursuant to stipulation and to ADR L. R. 3-3(c), the parties are hereby removed from the ADR
Multi-Option Program and are excused from participating in the ADR phone conference and any
further formal ADR process.

15

SO ORDERED.

16

17

Dated: August 2, 2007



PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL
United States Magistrate Judge

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28